

Mr. JONES, of Somerset, moved to adjourn. The motion was rejected.

On motion of Mr. EDELEN,  
The subject was divided.

The question then being on the first clause of the amendment to the amendment, as follows:

"That I will, to the best of my skill and judgment, diligently and faithfully, without partiality or prejudice, execute the office of \_\_\_\_\_ according to the constitution and laws of this State, and that since \_\_\_\_\_, I have not in any manner violated the provisions of the present, or of the late constitution, in relation to the bribery of voters, or preventing legal votes or procuring illegal votes to be given, (and if a governor, senator, member of the house of delegates or judge,) that I will not directly or indirectly receive the profits or any part of the profits of any other office during the term of my acting as \_\_\_\_\_."

Mr. JONES, of Somerset, demanded the yeas and nays, which were ordered.

The question being taken, the result was—yeas 52, nays 0—as follows:

*Yeas*—Messrs. Goldsborough, President; Abbott, Annan, Audoun, Belt, Billingsley, Brown, Carter, Cunningham, Daniel, Davis, of Washington, Dellinger, Dent, Duvall, Earle, Ecker, Edelen, Galloway, Harwood, Hopkins, Hopper, Jones, of Somerset, Keefer, Kennard, King, Lee, Marbury, Markey, Mitchell, Miller, Morgan, Mullikin, Murray, Negley, Nyman, Parker, Pugh, Purnell, Ridgely, Robinette, Russell, Sands, Smith, of Carroll, Smith, of Worcester, Stirling, Stockbridge, Swope, Sykes, Thruston, Todd, Wickard, Wooden—52.

*Nays*—None.

The first clause of the amendment was accordingly adopted unanimously.

The question then being on the adoption of the second clause of the amendment to the amendment, to wit:

"I do further swear or affirm that I will bear true allegiance to the State of Maryland, and support the constitution and laws thereof, and that I will bear true allegiance to the United States, and support, protect, and defend the constitution, laws, and government thereof, as the supreme law of the land, any law or ordinance of this or any State to the contrary notwithstanding; that I have never directly or indirectly by word, act, or deed, given any aid, comfort, or encouragement to those in rebellion against the United States, or the lawful authorities thereof, but that I have been truly and loyally on the side of the United States, against those in armed rebellion against the United States; and I do further swear or affirm that I will, to the best of my abilities, protect and defend the Union of the United States, and not allow the same to be broken up and dissolved, or the government thereof to be destroyed under any

circumstances, if in my power to prevent it, and that I will at all times discountenance and oppose all political combinations having for their object such dissolution or destruction."

Mr. JONES, of Somerset, demanded the yeas and nays, and they were ordered.

The question being taken, the result was—yeas 39, nays 13—as follows:

*Yeas*—Messrs. Goldsborough, President; Abbott, Annan, Audoun, Carter, Cunningham, Daniel, Davis, of Washington, Dellinger, Earle, Ecker, Galloway, Hopkins, Hopper, Keefer, Kennard, King, Markey, Mullikin, Murray, Negley, Nyman, Parker, Pugh, Purnell, Ridgely, Robinette, Russell, Sands, Smith, of Carroll, Smith, of Worcester, Stirling, Stockbridge, Swope, Sykes, Thruston, Todd, Wickard, Woode—39.

*Nays*—Messrs. Belt, Billingsley, Brown, Dent, Duvall, Edelen, Harwood, Jones, of Somerset, Lee, Marbury, Mitchell, Miller, Morgan—13.

When his name was called,

Mr. ECKER said: Being one of the committee who made this report, I was inclined to stand by the report. I am not in favor of the amendment exactly offered by the gentleman from Baltimore city (Mr. Stirling;) but discovering that it seems to be taken very hard by our democratic friends, I take it for granted that there is something very good in it; and I therefore vote "aye."

The second clause of the amendment was accordingly adopted.

The question then recurred upon the adoption of the amendment as a whole, thus amended, as a part of the section.

Mr. EDELEN demanded the yeas and nays, and they were ordered.

The question being taken, the result was—yeas 39, nays 12—as follows:

*Yeas*—Messrs. Goldsborough, President; Abbott, Annan, Audoun, Carter, Cunningham, Daniel, Davis, of Washington, Dellinger, Earle, Ecker, Galloway, Hopkins, Hopper, Keefer, Kennard, King, Markey, Mullikin, Murray, Negley, Nyman, Parker, Pugh, Purnell, Ridgely, Robinette, Russell, Sands, Smith, of Carroll, Smith, of Worcester, Stirling, Stockbridge, Swope, Sykes, Thruston, Todd, Wickard, Wooden—39.

*Nays*—Messrs. Belt, Billingsley, Brown, Dent, Duvall, Edelen, Jones, of Somerset, Lee, Marbury, Mitchell, Miller, Morgan—12.

The amendment of Mr. STIRLING was accordingly incorporated into section 5th of the article on the elective franchise.

The question recurred under the previous question, upon the adoption of section 5th as amended.

Mr. STOCKBRIDGE. Are the blanks filled?

Mr. STIRLING. They can be filled at any time. That is a mere formal matter. It must be filled with the date of the adoption